# Deleting variables

### Giulia Maineri

Università degli studi di Milano

April 2022

シへで 1/60

E

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

# Table of Contents

1	BookMethod
2	All variables
3	No $\vec{E}_T^{miss}$
4	No $\vec{E}_T^{miss}$ significance
5	No <i>m<sub>II</sub></i>
6	No <i>m</i> <sub><i>T</i></sub>
7	No $\Delta \Phi(ec{E}_T^{miss},ec{p}_T^{\prime \prime \gamma})$
8	No $\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closest})$
9	No $\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closestjet})$
10	No $ec{ ho}_T^\gamma$
11	No $ec{p}_T^{balance}$
12	ROC comparisons
13	Variable ranking

### BookMethod

Trees training was done with the following options:

- !H; in order not to print a method-specific help message
- !V; necessary to deactivate "verbose mode", which prints explanations of what's going on
- NTrees=850; number of trees in the forest
- MinNodeSize=5%; minimum percentage of training events required in a leaf node
- MaxDepth=3; maximum depth of the decision tree allowed

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

- BoostType=AdaBoost; boosting type
- AdaBoostBeta=0.2; learning rate for AdaBoost algorithm
- SeparationType=GiniIndex; separation criterion for node splitting
- nCuts=20;number of grid points in variable range used in finding optimal cut in node splitting

# All variables

## Classifier output distribution-Test and training sample

#### Figure 1: Output distribution

#### Figure 2: Overtraining control



#### Figure 3: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 4: AMS



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ ヨト ▲ ヨト ― ヨー のへ(で)

No 
$$\vec{E}_T^{miss}$$

## Classifier output distribution-Test and training sample

#### Figure 5: Output distribution

#### Figure 6: Overtraining control



#### Figure 7: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 8: AMS



E

・ロト ・日下 ・ヨト

< ∃⇒



## Classifier output distribution-Test and training sample

#### Figure 9: Output distribution

#### Figure 10: Overtraining control



#### Figure 11: ROC curve



16 / 60

э

AMS

#### Figure 12: AMS



# No *m*<sub>//</sub>

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Classifier output distribution-Test and training sample

#### Figure 13: Output distribution

#### Figure 14: Overtraining control

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



#### Figure 15: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 16: AMS



# No *m*<sub>*T*</sub>

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Classifier output distribution-Test and training sample

#### Figure 17: Output distribution

#### TMVA response for classifier: BDT00 Signal xp / Np (N/L) Background 3 2 1 n -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.5 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 BDT00 response

#### Figure 18: Overtraining control



#### Figure 19: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 20: AMS



No  $\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{ll\gamma})$ 

#### Figure 21: Output distribution

#### Figure 22: Overtraining control



#### Figure 23: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 24: AMS



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□ ● ●

No  $\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closest})$ 

▲ロト ▲昼 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 - のへで

#### Figure 25: Output distribution

#### Figure 26: Overtraining control

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



#### Figure 27: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 28: AMS



No 
$$\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closestjet})$$

シックシード (中下・(中下・(日下))



#### Figure 30: Overtraining control



#### Figure 31: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 32: AMS



No 
$$ar{m{
ho}}_{T}^{\gamma}$$

シックシード (中下・(中下・(日下))

#### Figure 33: Output distribution

#### Figure 34: Overtraining control

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



#### Figure 35: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 36: AMS



No 
$$\vec{p}_T^{balance}$$

### Classifier output distribution-Test and training sample

#### Figure 37: Output distribution

#### Figure 38: Overtraining control



#### Figure 39: ROC curve



AMS

#### Figure 40: AMS



# ROC comparisons

# **ROC** Comparison

#### Figure 41: ROC Comparison

Comparison



# **ROC** comparison



▲ロト ▲園ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー のへで

#### Figure 43: ROC Comparison - Important variables



# Variable ranking

deleted variable	AMS	$\Delta AMS$
none	2.99522	0
E <sup>miss</sup>	2.93307	0.06215
sigE <sup>miss</sup>	2.8162	0.17902
$m_{II}$	2.87348	0.12174
m <sub>T</sub>	2.5651	0.39912
$\Delta \Phi (ec{E}_T^{miss},ec{ ho}_T^{\prime \prime \gamma})$	2.92392	0.0713
$\Delta \Phi(ec{E}_T^{miss}, ec{p}_T^{closest})$	2.87644	0.11878
$\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closestjet})$	2.88721	0.10801
$\vec{p}_T^{\gamma}$	2.48571	0.50951
$\vec{P}_T^{balance}$	2.94389	0.05133

Table 1: AMS values deleting variables one a time

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

deleted variable	AMS	$\Delta AMS$
none	2.99522	0
$ec{ ho}_T^\gamma$	2.48571	0.50951
m <sub>T</sub>	2.56510	0.39912
sigE <sub>T</sub> <sup>miss</sup>	2.81620	0.17902
m <sub>II</sub>	2.87348	0.12174
$\Delta \Phi(ec{E}_T^{miss},ec{ ho}_T^{closest})$	2.87644	0.11878
$\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closestjet})$	2.88721	0.10801
$\Delta \Phi(ec{E}_T^{miss},ec{p}_T^{ll\gamma})$	2.92392	0.07130
E <sup>miss</sup>	2.93307	0.06215
$\vec{P}_T^{balance}$	2.94389	0.05133

Table 2: Variable ranking

<ロト < 回 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト - 臣

### Counts comparison

This is a list showing how many times the algorithm chose to use one variable as discriminating variable during the training of the trees.

num
360
364
434
531
868
232
747
134
187

Table 3: Variable ranking

variable	num
$\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closest})$	868
$\Delta \Phi(ec{E}_T^{miss},ec{p}_T^{ll\gamma})$	747
m <sub>ll</sub>	531
sigE <sub>T</sub> <sup>miss</sup>	434
mT	364
$ec{ ho}_T^\gamma$	360
$\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closestjet})$	232
$\vec{p}_T^{balance}$	187
E <sup>miss</sup>	134

Table 4: Variable ranking

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲理▶ ▲理▶ 三臣

#### Figure 44: Variable ranking - all variables

Rank	Variable	Variable Importance
1	METsig	1.349e-01
2	рТу	1.332e-01
3	dphi_MET_lly	1.277e-01
4	mΤ	1.273e-01
5	dphi_MET_closest	1.227e-01
6	pTbalance	1.139e-01
	mll	1.065e-01
8	MET	8.021e-02
9	dphi_MET_closestjet	5.353e-02

<ロト < 回 ト < 回 ト < 回 ト - 三 三</p>

#### Figure 45: Variable ranking - all variables

Rank :	Variable	Variable Importance
1 :	mT	1.414e-01
2 :	METsig	1.301e-01
	pTbalance	1.171e-01
4 :	dphi_MET_lly	1.143e-01
	dphi_MET_closest	1.131e-01
	рТу	1.130e-01
	mll	1.056e-01
8 :	MET	8.890e-02
	dphi_MET_closestjet	7.656e-02

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

#### Figure 46: Variable ranking - all variables

Rank	Variable	Variable Importance
1	mT	1.477e-01
2	рТу	1.346e-01
	METsig	1.345e-01
4	dphi_MET_closest	1.125e-01
	pTbalance	1.087e-01
	dphi_MET_lly	1.035e-01
	mll	9.560e-02
8	MET	9.116e-02
	dphi_MET_closestjet	7.178e-02

#### Figure 47: Variable ranking - all variables

Rank : Variable	: Variable Importance
1 : METsig	: 1.376e-01
2 : mT	: 1.344e-01
3 : рТу	: 1.267e-01
4 : pTbalance	: 1.261e-01
5 : dphi_MET_closest	: 1.072e-01
6 : dphi_MET_lly	: 1.053e-01
7 : mll	: 1.015e-01
8 : MET	: 8.384e-02
9 : dphi_MET_closestjet	: 7.743e-02

#### Figure 48: Variable ranking - all variables

Rank	Variable	Variable Importance
	mT	1.378e-01
2	METsig	1.344e-01
	pTbalance	1.243e-01
4	dphi_MET_closest	1.146e-01
	dphi_MET_lly	1.115e-01
	рТу	1.096e-01
	mll	1.007e-01
8	dphi_MET_closestjet	8.592e-02
	MET	8.126e-02

- Some variables, such as  $\vec{E}_T^{miss}$  and  $\Delta \Phi(\vec{E}_T^{miss}, \vec{p}_T^{closestjet})$ , appear at the bottom of the ranking, independently by the method used to do it.
- In the same way,  $m_T$  and  $\vec{E}_T^{miss}$  significance always appear in the first places.
- In the two first variable rankings, made deleting variables one a time and counting how many times each variable was used during the training,  $m_T$  and  $p_T^{\gamma}$  appear near in the ranking. These variables have a significant correlation in the background.

<ロト < 回 ト < 回 ト < 回 ト - 三 三</p>